

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/520,481	08/15/2005	Klaus Pape	2209.933 6850	
Karl S Sawyer	7590 05/29/2007		EXAM	INER
Kennedy Covington Lobdell & Hickman			HURLEY, SHAUN R	
Hearst Tower 47th Floor 214 North Tryon Street		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Charlotte, NC 28202			3765	
				DELIVERYMANE
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	• •	10/520,481	PAPE, KLAUS			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
	*	Shaun R. Hurley	3765			
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app	-				
Period for						
WHIC - Extensions after \$ - If NO - Failure Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DASIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, poly received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status			•			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 Ja</u>	nuary 2005.				
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositio	on of Claims					
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4</u> is/are rejected.					
7)🖂	Claim(s) <u>3</u> is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	•			
Application	on Papers					
9) 🗌 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)🛛 🖯	The drawing(s) filed on 07 January 2005 is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected	to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
. ,-	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
•	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		:				
Attachment	• •	.□ · •	(DTO 442)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
3) X Inform	3) Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>01/07/05</u> . 6) U Other: S. Patent and Trademark Office						

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Iwade et al (5179764) in view of Beveridge (4837908).

Iwade teaches a drafting arrangement for spinning groups with at least one upper roller carrier and weighting arm held by a machine frame with rollers rotatably mounted in a guide of a bearing element transversely to their axis of rotation (Figures). While Iwade essentially teaches the invention as detailed, he fails to specifically teach a holding device in the design of a collar behind the guide of the bearing element which would result in an electrically conductive connection being created, which Beveridge teaches (Figure 5). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to have utilized such a collar, so as to retain the roller within the bearing in a secure manner. The ordinarily skilled artisan would have known the benefits of such a collar and understood to use one, thus retaining the roller as desired.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claim 3 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3765

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shaun R. Hurley whose telephone number is (571) 272-4986. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri, 8:00 am - 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Welch can be reached on (571) 272-4996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Shaun R Hurley Primary Examiner Art Unit 3765

SRH 22 May 2007